

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/757,654	YAMANAKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carramah J. Quiett	2612

All Participants:

Status of Application: Non-Final

(1) Carramah J. Quiett.

(3) _____.

(2) Edward J. Wise, Applicant's Attorney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 June 2005

Time: 9:23am (approximately)

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-9 and 12-16

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 12/28/2004, an Election/Restrictions Office Action (paper no. 12132004) was mailed to the Applicant. In response to this Office Action, the Applicants elected the First Species for examination. However, the claims (1-9 and 12-16) were not elected properly. The Examiner's called the Applicant's Attorney on 6/6/2005 and 6/7/2005 in order to resolve the improper election of claims issue. On 6/8/2005, Attorney Wise returned the Examiner's calls in order to elect (with the Applicant's approval) claims 1-5, 9 and 10.